PATENT Attorney Docket No. 4270.0015

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Richard F SELDEN

Serial No. 08/465,596

Filed: June 5, 1995

For: TRANSKARYOTIC IMPLANTATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

Group Art Unit: 1804

Examiner: Christopher S

JUN 2 5 1998

Sir:

MATRIX CUSTOMER
SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSERVICE CENTER

Further to the Amendment of March 11, 1998, Applicant submits the following additional remarks.

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application in light of the Amendment of March 11, 1998, and this Supplemental Response.

Claim Amendments

On pages 6 through 19 of the Amendment, Applicant showed how the Application supports new claims 72-101, including their recitation of "without a viral vector," "without a retroviral vector," and "wherein said DNA sequence comprises no DNA of retroviral origin." As part of this showing, Applicant stated:

The specification and original claims continually use the terms "transfect," "transfection," and "transfected." Transfection is distinct from transduction, which involves infection with a viral vector. The viral vector infects a cell and introduces genes into the cell. Unlike infection,

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L. L. P. 1300 I Street, N. W.

LAW OFFICES

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20005